

# NO RESPONSE.

Whistles Blew in Vain at the Anthracite Mines.

Not a Miner Appeared for Work in That Region.

STRIKE IS EXPECTED.

Mules Are Taken Out at a Number of Points.

Many Foreigners Preparing to Return to Europe.

Philadelphia, Pa., April 2.—Reports received today from the anthracite coal fields state that the order of the miners' scale committee directing that mining be suspended until an agreement could be reached with the operators is being obeyed almost to the man. In all three districts preparations were made by the operators for a resumption of work this morning. Whistles were blown at the regular hour and everything was in readiness for the men to go to work but there was no response by the miners and the day opened a holiday aspect. Miners, engineers and pumpmen who are not affected by the suspension order reported as usual, but it was apparent that the scene at the mines which had been hurried to the collieries yesterday would not be needed unless an agreement was reached between President Mitchell and the coal presidents to their conference to be held in New York tomorrow.

That a great many miners believe that a strike is imminent and that there will be a bitter struggle between the workmen and their employers was evidenced by the departure yesterday and today of scores of miners from the Schuylkill region. A majority of these men are foreigners who will go to their homes in Europe and there await the outcome of the pending strike.

The Lackawanna district there is a line looking over the Pennsylvania border where an agreement will be reached at the New York conference and others are less hopeful and are preparing for a long siege.

The only colliery in the Luzerne, Wyo., district which attempted to work today as far as has been reported was the Union shaft of the Lehigh Valley company. Coal which had been loaded last week was run through the breaker for about an hour, after which the men withdrew from the shaft and returned to their homes.

At many of the collieries in this district the mules were taken from the mines, thus indicating that no effort would immediately be made to resume mining.

But prevails throughout the three districts and as long as the men remain away from the mines no disorder is anticipated.

**All Out in Southwest.**

Kansas City, Mo., April 2.—There was a complete shutdown today in the bituminous coal fields included in the Southwestern Miners' association in Missouri, Kansas, western Arkansas and the territories. A few mines operated by nonunion men resumed as usual. No scenes of disorder were reported, the men generally remaining quiet at the mines.

**Early Agreement Looked For.**

Pt. Smith, Ark., April 2.—Five thousand miners in this state and 6,000 in the territory are now idle, according to a statement made today by Secretary Brannan, of the Southwestern Miners' association. None of the men with the exception of the engineers, firemen and pumpmen went to work today. In the various camps of this district the miners today celebrated the first day of their strike. It was predicted today that an agreement would shortly be reached by individual companies. Several operators were in Pt. Smith today, and the mining officials with reference to the 1933 scale, with the three-cent flat advance provided for in the Perry resolution, which also permits any company to sign that scale and operate work if it will sign for all its mines without reference to location in the district.

**Many Will Sign Agreement.**

Pittsburg, Pa., April 2.—Today being the anniversary of the granting of the eight hour work day, almost every mine in the Pittsburgh district was closed. Tomorrow, however, it is expected that the miners employed by the Pittsburgh coal company and by several other local operators will return to work and that all the mines will be running before the close of the week.

Many of the operators who have agreed to the advance of wages of 5.5 per cent, wanted the miners to resume work immediately.

Francis L. Robbins, representing the Pittsburgh coal company, and the chairman of the committee of United Mine Workers appointed by the district convention last Saturday will meet today for the purpose of signing the agreement for two years and the factional fight which has been in progress in the miners' organization for some time may cause trouble. Patrick Dolan questions the validity of such an agreement, as he still claims to be the president, but the opposition said he had been deposed.

It may be that Mr. Robbins as a leader of the more conservative element is regarded as highly probable that the independents will want the concession at the meeting to be held by them tomorrow and will not be able to make the same concessions that the Pittsburgh coal company has. The conservative element is said to be in the majority and will be expected to induce the others to join with them in agreeing to the settlement on the 1933 scale.

Pending a settlement the independent miners have shut down the district. The miners' scale of wage of 1933 was signed this afternoon by the Pittsburgh coal company officials. The agreement goes into effect at once and affects 32,000 men in this district.

**Perry Makes a Statement.**

Springfield, Ill., April 2.—This being a holiday among United Mine Workers of America because of the annual celebration of the establishment of the eight hour day no coal mines are being operated.

President H. C. Perry, of the United

# AT WHITE HEAT.

Second Ward Councilman Contest Grows Exciting.

Mr. Griley, Democrat, Deserts His Party Nominee.

RICE OUT IN FOURTH.

Republican Incumbent Supports Kemper, Democrat.

Holliday Confident of Winning in Second.

Miners of Illinois, made the following statement today:

"I have been busy all morning answering telephone calls from operators from all over the state who are willing to sign the scale of 1933, as demanded by the miners. The perfect class and large number of mines will be in operation tomorrow is satisfying. The Illinois Coal Operators' association will meet in Chicago tomorrow so I don't care to give the names of operators who are signing the scale today as I do not wish to embarrass them. I will say, however, that among the signers are the operators of the P. B. Wilson mines in the Danville district. Williamson county operators are also among the signers though they have hitherto been among those who were strongest in declaring that they would not pay the advance. In several instances where our state or subdistrict officers are unable to sign with the operators today, operators are making preparations to resume work tomorrow. I have been promised that miners shall return to work tomorrow pending the signing of the scale."

The state executive board of the miners' union will meet here tomorrow.

**MINERS AND OPERATORS MEET.**

Conventions in Number of Districts in Effort to Settle.

Indianapolis, Ind., April 2.—Although a half million members of the United Mine Workers of America, did not resume work today awaiting the granting of their wage, the coal operators of the country there is nothing at the national headquarters of the union that would indicate anything unusual has happened. All the officials are busy with the day and a corps of clerks and stenographers is in charge of the office.

President John Mitchell is in New York to meet the anthracite operators tomorrow in an effort to arrive at an agreement in the anthracite region. Vice President T. L. Lewis is in Ohio directing the strike in that state. President Mitchell is attending the joint conference of the central Pennsylvania district, Samuel M. Sexton, the official organ of the national union, is the only official in the city.

Reports are coming from different states that they are being forwarded to President Mitchell or held awaiting his return.

The feeling at national miners' headquarters, concerning the situation in the coal fields, is one of anxiety. In West Virginia and Iowa, the district joint conventions between the operators and miners are in session at Charleston and Des Moines, respectively, and it is hoped at national headquarters that the operators will meet the demand for an advance.

The Michigan miners and operators will meet tomorrow at Saginaw. The central Pennsylvania meeting will be held tomorrow at Clearfield and the Kentucky meeting at Louisville and the Ohio meeting at Columbus. The district composed of Illinois, Indiana, Ohio and western Pennsylvania, the miners are celebrating the day, the anniversary of the eight hour day.

The miners in all the districts have quit work awaiting the signing of the scale demanded. It is anticipated that few operators in the southwest district composed of Missouri, Kansas, Arkansas, Texas, Indian territory and Oklahoma will grant the scale for the present and a prolonged strike may follow. In Ohio, Indiana and Illinois the same outcome is anticipated.

Wellington O'Connor, president of the Indiana miners' organization, announced today that all the operators in the state of Indiana, Ohio, Kentucky and Ohio railroad except two and several in the central part of the state had signed their willingness to sign the scale.

**Will Pay the Price.**

Pomeroy, O., April 2.—There will be no miners' strike in the Pomeroy district. The operators will pay the scale demanded and will sign the scale this week.

**THE JURY DISMISSED.**

Cannot Agree in the Caldwell Case at Salina.

Salina, Kan., April 2.—Judge Rees dismissed the jury in the Caldwell case at noon today, the foreman having announced that the 12 men were unable to agree upon a verdict. In addition court adjourned until Tuesday when Judge Rees' presence there. County Attorney Bureh announced that Mrs. Caldwell would be tried again at the August term of the district court. The county was raked with a one-tooth comb to secure the present jury. It is doubtful if Mrs. Caldwell will arrive here at present as the amount is \$5,500 and former attempts have proven fruitless. However, Judge Rees may lower the amount. Mrs. Caldwell has been in jail since September 4.

At the time of the murder the Caldwell owned a good farm but the litigation of the two trials has eaten up the estate and the farm has been sold. The jury has had the case under consideration since Thursday noon.

**A POINT FOR VERNON.**

He Is a Good Campaign Orator and Works Without Pay in Cash.

Washington, April 2.—Representative Tamm, of Massachusetts, chairman of the house committee on appropriations, who was chairman of the speakers' bureau of the Republican national committee during the late presidential campaign, today filed a strong letter with Senator Burrows in favor of Vernon. He said Vernon was one of three campaign orators most in demand and would be paid in cash. Burrows will take up Vernon's case in his subcommittee probably tomorrow. There is a report that the subcommittee will recommend his confirmation.

**Kansas City Blues Here Sunday.**

Herman Crow, manager of the White Sox, announced this afternoon that he had finally made arrangements for a game with the Kansas City Blues to be played here on Sunday afternoon next.

# AT WHITE HEAT.

Second Ward Councilman Contest Grows Exciting.

Mr. Griley, Democrat, Deserts His Party Nominee.

RICE OUT IN FOURTH.

Republican Incumbent Supports Kemper, Democrat.

Holliday Confident of Winning in Second.

Joseph Griley—formerly Democrat but now Republican—supporting C. K. Holliday, Republican nominee for councilman from the Second ward.

Councilman S. S. Rice, Republican, supporting W. H. Kemper, Democrat nominee for councilman from the Fourth ward.

These are the two most interesting developments of the spring municipal campaign. The election for councilmen and members of the board of education takes place tomorrow.

Both of the councilmen named have come out in written signed statements concerning their choice in the Second and Fourth ward contests.

Joseph Griley, who has been one of the leaders in local Democratic circles, has left the party of his youth and will hereafter be a Republican. Mr. Griley says that he has turned in his resignation from the city central committee. "Get a can tied to me?" he said. "Well, how can they? I have resigned from the city central committee. I have taken the first action, I am away and out. I took the action in supporting Holliday because most of my support comes from the laboring class and Henry says that he believes 75 cents a day is enough for the laboring man. He is in favor of cutting down the wages one half. To support Henry would be to help against the laboring class and I was put in the council by them. It would be a piece of ingratitude on my part if I failed to take a stand against such a man."

David Williams, manager for Henry, said: "We have been waiting for just such a statement from Griley for some time. We have known all along that he stood but we are glad now that he lets the public know where he stands. Griley has no back bone, and I am more sorry than angry for him. I think that he is a traitor to the laboring class. He is a piece of ingratitude on my part if I failed to take a stand against such a man."

That's a story that Henry Ward Beecher started," said Mike Henry with reference to the wage story that the opposition is using against him. "I don't care to say anything about it. The gas company is paying \$1.25 a day for ten hours' labor. How's that for a wage? If I don't get anything worse than that story against me why I will not worry very much."

"We certainly are going to take action on Joe Griley as soon as the city central committee meets," said W. Blair. "Of course he has anticipated us by resigning, but we are going to 'raze him' him. There has been a can with a good stout string tied to it and it is going to be used for the past two years, but several of the members of the party have held back, believing that he was not as bad as they thought. He has now demonstrated to them, however, now I was surprised and hurt to hear of his action. He led me to believe Saturday night when I met him at the Elks that he would vote for Henry. At the time I suppose his article was being set up at the newspaper office. He is just a poor ignorant fellow who is being used by Holliday. He is now going to be a Republican for the country. He is no longer a Democrat, and he has not the brains to make anything but a Republican. He has ended his run politically. He has now demonstrated to the party that he worked against Snyder two years ago and that he knifed the party ticket last year."

**Holliday Is Confident.**

Indications seem to be that Holliday will win in the Second by a small majority, not to exceed 200. Two years ago it was 457. Some of the closest guessers state they will be easily satisfied if he gets 150. Holliday, however, is sanguine and thinks it will be at least 500, and is backed up by C. E. Jewell, his manager.

Mr. Griley's statement issued is as follows:

"To the Voters of the Second Ward: I had decided to remain neutral during the present contest between Mr. Holliday and Mr. Rice. I am now, however, man of our ward. But I can no longer stay quiet when such uncalculated abuse has been heaped upon Mr. Holliday as was the case when Mr. Blair spoke at the Flamingo club meeting last Friday. Mr. Holliday has been councilman two years. No councilman ever accomplished so much for his ward as he has. He has done for the city what he has done for the Second ward and the city well know. Although I am a Democrat and Mr. Holliday a Republican, we both have decided to shoulder in the council fighting for the interests of our ward and city, regardless of politics."

"It is easy enough to sit in the council and vote 'No' on every proposition, but it requires nerve and ability to push things ahead and to things. The result of Mr. Holliday's work in and out of the council during the past two years is shown in the fact that the city is pushing ahead. New industries are coming in and the hope for the future is bright. In his council work he has incurred the enmity of some people who never did anything for the ward or the city. They don't want to let him go. All they can do is to stand around and kick and snarl at everything and everybody. In as much as I am with the large number of the councilmen, voted for yesterday in the council, which Mr. Holliday is accused of bringing to the city—the street railway, the electric company."

"I do not propose to stand idly by

# AT WHITE HEAT.

Second Ward Councilman Contest Grows Exciting.

Mr. Griley, Democrat, Deserts His Party Nominee.

RICE OUT IN FOURTH.

Republican Incumbent Supports Kemper, Democrat.

Holliday Confident of Winning in Second.

**REAL APRIL WEATHER.**

Month Comes in With Touch of Genuine Spring.

Commencing April the first the weather station in this city began the issuing of the regular daily rain and temperature bulletins which also give the temperature and other information gathered at the fifteen stations scattered over the state.

The temperatures today have been of the midsummer variety, as were those of yesterday though the indications for tomorrow are that there will be showers or snow with falling temperatures.

Under the head of remarks the weather bureau says: The weather was pleasant this morning over the district, being clear in western Missouri and in Kansas except in the east central counties where it was more or less cloudy with a trace of rainfall at Manhattan. The maximum temperature ranged from 65 degrees in northwestern Missouri to 74 degrees in northwestern Kansas and 76 degrees in southwestern Kansas.

The hourly temperatures in Topeka for today were:

7 o'clock.....	46.11 o'clock.....	62
8 o'clock.....	49.12 o'clock.....	65
9 o'clock.....	44.1 o'clock.....	63
10 o'clock.....	40.12 o'clock.....	61

The wind is blowing from the south at the rate of 20 miles an hour.

**BURTON CASE NOT UP.**

May Not Be Reached Tomorrow in Supreme Court.

Washington, April 2.—The Burton case will not be reached today in the supreme court. It may not be reached tomorrow. It is far down on the docket.

**Burton Is After Evidence.**

Raleigh, N. C., April 2.—Senator Burton of Kansas, whose case is before the supreme court in the United States, has sent a representative to North Carolina, charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.

The document in question is a letter that is alleged to have been written by the present United States district judge, James E. Boyd, to former United States Senator Peter C. Pritchard, now United States circuit court judge.

Senator Burton is charged with the duty of securing a copy of a document that he believes will have an important bearing on his case.